



İstanbul Teknik Üniversitesi )) Telsiz Haberleşme Araştırma Laboratuvarı

### Network Coded Cooperation Testbed: Implementation And Performance Results

DARNEC'2015

Selahattin Gökceli

(Joint work with Semiha Tedik Başaran and Güneş Karabulut Kurt)

**ISTANBUL TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY** 

**DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRONICS AND COMMUNICATIONS ENGINEERING** 

This work is supported by TUBITAK under Grant 113E294.

# Outline

- Motivation
- System Model
- Testbed Details
  - NCC System
  - Image Transmission Structure
- Test Results

### Motivation

Current status of Wireless Networks-5G

- Resource scarcity problems
- Increasing number of users
- Constant (or decreasing) radio resources
- Increasing data rate demands

# System Model(1/2)

Set-up includes three source nodes (M =3), one destination node (P = 1) and one relay node (K = 1).

-OFDMA uplink transmission is realized in the broadcast phase .

-In the relaying phase, the relay node uses all N=1200 subcarriers.

-X<sub>i</sub> and X<sub>k</sub> signals from source nodes and relay node are transmitted with 4-QAM modulation, respectively where (i = 1,...,M and k = 1,...,K).

# System Model(2/2)

The global coding matrix of the total system in GF(4) is set as

$$\mathbf{Z} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ \end{pmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \end{vmatrix}^{T}$$

- Although we use a single physical device as the relay node, we use the same subcarrier set for the relaying phase (i.e. 320 subcarriers).
- Three linear combinations of the received source symbols are generated at the relay node. Hence, the coding matrix becomes of dimension 3x6.
- In the end, a cooperative detection rule is used at the destination node.

# Testbed Details (1/14)

### Hardware Components:

- NI USRP-2921: Source and Destination Nodes, Instantaneous bandwidth up to 20 MHz
- NI PXIe-1082 Chassis:
  - NI PXIe-5644R VST: Relay Node,
  - Instantaneous bandwidth up to 80 MHz
    - NI PXI-6683: Clock Signal Source

### Testbed Details (2/14)

### Hardware Components:



# Testbed Details (3/14)

### Synchronization Solution:

- Three external 10 MHz signals are provided by NI
   PXI-6683 Timing and Synchronization Module
- These signals are transmitted to two source nodes and destination node via cables
- Remaining source node receives synchronization signal through MIMO cable
- Synchronization configuration in code

### Testbed Details (4/14)

### Software Components:

-LabVIEW Software: Visual Programming Language,
Programming with Virtual Instruments (VI)
-Timed Flat Sequence Structure: Main VI of the code,
consists of:

- -Source, relay and destination node SubVI
- -Network coding and decoding SubVI

### Testbed Details (5/14)

#### Timed Flat Sequence Structure VI Block Diagram:



### Testbed Details (6/14)

Source node SubVI implementation structure:



### Testbed Details (7/14)

Relay node SubVI implementation structure:



# Testbed Details (8/14)

Destination node SubVI implementation structure:



# Testbed Details (9/14)

- Example of LabVIEW implementation:
  - -Relay SubVI's transmitter code:
    - -RFSG VI
    - -Modulation Toolkit VI
    - -Signal Processing Library VI
    - -Array functions

### Testbed Details (10/14)

#### Correspondent SubVI:



### Testbed Details (11/14)

#### Network Decoding and ML Estimator SubVI:



# Testbed Details (12/14)

### Image Transmission Implementation:

-Packet Transmission Algorithm:

-Dividing 100x100 pixel images to packets

-Index Portion: Shows packet's index number, %5 of the frame length

-At Rx, by using index portion, packets are determined and put in right order to form image

### Testbed Details (13/14)

### OFDMA Frame Structure:

	Subcarrier Index						
	0-59	60-419	420-599	600	601-780	781-1140	1141-1199
	ZP	Info+Reference	Info+Reference	DC	Info+Reference	Info+Reference	ZP
	Sequence						Sequence
$S_1$	60 Samples	360 Samples	0 Sequence	1 Sample	0 Sequence	0 Sequence	59 Samples
	0 Sequence		180 Samples		180 Samples	360 Samples	0 Sequence
$S_2$	60 Samples	0 Sequence	190 Samplas	1 Sample	180 Samples	0 Sequence	59 Samples
	0 Sequence	360 Samples	160 Samples			360 samples	0 Sequence
$S_3$	60 Samples	0 Sequence	0 Sequence	1 Comple	0 Sequence	360 Samples	59 Samples
	0 Sequence	360 Samples	180 Samples	i Sample	180 Samples		0 Sequence

### Testbed Details (14/14)

#### Set-up Parameters:

Carrier frequency	2.45 GHz
I/Q data rate	1 MS/sec
Bandwidth	1 MHz
Number of bits used in one frame	2080 bits
Number of 4-QAM symbols	1040 samples
Total number of subcarriers of the one user data portion	320 samples
Number of reference subcarriers	40 samples
Number of source nodes	3
Number of relay nodes	1
Number of destination nodes	2
Zero padding length	120 samples
DFT length $(N)$	1200 samples
CP length	300 samples
Distances of nodes	75 cm/ 90 cm

### Test Results (1/5)

Received 4-QAM Constellation Diagrams:



### Test Results (2/5)

#### NCC System Test Results: **Relaying Phase** 90 cm 75 cm Gain **Broadcast** Phase 75 cm 90 cm Relay Destination Source Source BER EVM BER EVM BER Destination Source GainSource EVM BER EVM SI $2.8 \times 10^{-4}$ 29.62 $1.3 \times 10^{-3}$ 36.05 $6 \times 10^{-3}$ 37.23 1.99 $\times 10^{-2}$ 44.78 S1 S2 $3 \times 10^{-4}$ 30.32 $1.3 \times 10^{-3}$ 35.96 $D_1$ $D_1$ S2 $2 \times 10^{-2}$ 42 $3.7 \times 10^{-2}$ 51.18 $2.1 \times 10^{-4}$ 29.99 $1.7 \times 10^{-3}$ 36.76 S3 -23 dBm $2.9 \times 10^{-2}$ 45.04 $4.5 \times 10^{-2}$ 55.26 S3 $2.4 \times 10^{-2}$ 51.6 $2.5 \times 10^{-2}$ 52.28 S1 Network Decoder Gain $1 \, \mathrm{dB}$ $2 \times 10^{-2}$ 50.38 3.7 $\times 10^{-2}$ 55.73 S2 $D_2$ Destination Source Source 75 cm-BER 90 cm-BER Relay $3 \times 10^{-2}$ 52.69 $3.9 \times 10^{-2}$ 56.33 S3 $S_1$ $8 \times 10^{-4}$ $6 \times 10^{-3}$ S1 0 8.49 0 8.63 $2.5 \times 10^{-3}$ $3.24 \times 10^{-2}$ $D_1$ S2 R $3.1 \times 10^{-5}$ 14.5 $2.4 \times 10^{-5}$ 13.26 S2 $3 \times 10^{-3}$ $3.7 \times 10^{-2}$ $S_3$ -23 dBm S3 0 18.21 $3 \times 10^{-5}$ 19

### Test Results (3/5)

Link performance comparison for S3 data at 4 dB gain. The relay gain is -23 dBm.



### Test Results (4/5)

BER performance comparison of the links in the image tranmission implementation

	<b>S</b> <sub>1</sub>	$S_2$	S <sub>3</sub>
Direct Link	$5.39  imes 10^{-5}$	$6.49  imes 10^{-4}$	$4.42 \times 10^{-4}$
S-R Link	$2 \times 10^{-6}$	$4.1  imes 10^{-6}$	$6.96  imes 10^{-5}$
Network Decoder	$1.95 \times 10^{-6}$	$1.14 \times 10^{-5}$	$1.2 \times 10^{-4}$

### Test Results (5/5)

Received image at direct link and network decoder:







### Conclusions

Provide efficient usage of limited resources

- NCC is very effective on improvement of transmission quality of OFDMA based transmission
- Reliable communications against imperfect effects of wireless fading channel
- Suitable for applications such as multimedia transmission

# Thank you!



#### This work is supported by TUBITAK under Grant 113E294 & COST IC1104